



CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam

Shakti Bhawan, IInd Floor, Room No.317, Sector-6, Panchkula

E-mail: uhbv_n_forum2006@yahoo.com

Fax & Phone No. 0172-2583896

Complaint No. CGRF-519/11

To

The Managing Director,
UHBVN, Panchkula.

Memo No. Ch- 11 /UH/CGRF-519

Dated: 24. 10. 2011

Subject: - Order in respect of complaint of Sh. Ude Singh regarding theft case.

Enclosed please find herewith the order issued by Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum in respect of above complaint for its compliance.

DA/As above

Secretary
CGRF, UHBVN,
Panchkula

CC.

1. Secretary/HERC, Sec-4, Panchkula.
2. CE/OP, UHBVN, Rohtak.
3. SE/ 'OP' Circle UHBVN, Jhajjar.
4. SE/Commercial UHBVN, Panchkula.
5. XEN/IT, UHBVN, Panchkula. (for posting on UHBVN site)
6. XEN/OP Division, UHBVN, Jhajjar with a copy of order.
7. SDO/OP, S/Division, UHBVN, Machhrauli.
8. Sh. Ude Singh, R/o Village Patasani, Tehsil & Distt. Jhajjar.

For information & further necessary action with respect to order of Forum (copy enclosed).



CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam

Room No.317, IInd Floor, Shakti Bhawan, Sector-6, Panchkula

E-mail: uhbv_n_forum2006@yahoo.com

Complaint No.	UH/CGRF - 519 of 2011
Date of Institution	01.08.2011
Date of Hearing	21.09.2011
Date of Order	26.09.2011

Before the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum, UHBVNL.

Present:-

1. Sh. R.K. Jain, Member-I.
2. Sh. D.C. Aggarwal, Member-II.
3. Sh. Raj Roop Jakhar, Member-III.

In the matter of complaint of Sh. Udai Singh r/o Vill Patasani, Distt Jhajajr regarding wrong levy of theft penalty

.....Complainant/Petitioner

Vs

- (1) XEN, Op Division, UHBVN, Jhajjar
- (2) SDO, Op Sub-Division, UHBVN, Machhrauli

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant:

Sh. Udai Singh

For the Respondent:

Sh. Chander Parkash, SDO, Op Sub-Division, UHBVN, Machhrauli



ORDER

The complainant Sh. Udai Singh r/o Vill Patasani, Jhajajr and his area falls under the jurisdiction of Op. Sub-Division, UHBVN, Machhrauli and the Forum have the jurisdiction to try this suit.

It has been pleaded by the complainant that on 14.7.2009 he received a notice from the respondent where he was falsely implicated for committing theft of electricity for running tubewell and Rs. 35000/- has been imposed as penalty. He never had any tubewell connection and even does not have such connection as on date. He has further pleaded that a period of two years has passed and the respondents are legally restrained from recovery of the alleged amount. Hence the levy of penalty may be quashed.

The application of the petitioner was received in this office of the Forum on 27.7.11. The Forum considered the facts and found the petition feasible of acceptance. Accordingly notice of motion dated 1.8.11 was issued to both the parties asking the respondents to submit their version.

Respondent SDO submitted undated version dated nil stating that the matter in dispute has already been decided by the CGRF Panchkula in complaint No-UH/CGRF-420. The complainant has himself admitted that he had no connection of the AP category but was caught committing theft while he was stealing electricity by running 7.5.BHP electric motor by connecting the supply with 3 core PVC cable of black colour of 100 mtr length directly connected to by tapping the LT line and was found running his tubewell and irrigating his fields whereas he does not have any tubewell connection.

The Summon were issued to both the parties for hearing on 21.9.11 when both the parties attended. The respondent SDO corroborated his earlier stand as stated in the version. The complainant could not explain the reason for theft committed and why he filed the second complaint for same reasons.

My

AMO




Complaint No. 519 of 2011

The Forum after due deliberation observed that it was a clear case of theft of electricity and the respondent has rightly imposed penalty on the complainant. The complainant has misused the platform of the Forum by filing the second complaint.

The Forum, therefore decided that the complaint is dismissed with a cost of Rs. 500/- imposed on the complainant. He shall deposit this amount with the respondent within 7 days of issue of this order otherwise the respondent shall be at liberty to add this amount to the bill of any other connection held in his own name or in the name of any of his family members from where the complainant is enjoying availability of electricity or in any other manner as deemed fit. The File be consigned to the office record.

The order is signed and issued by the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum on 26.09.2011.


(Raj Roop Jakhar)
Member-III


(D.C. Aggarwal)
Member-II


(R.K. Jain)
Member-I

