



CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam

Shakti Bhawan, IInd Floor, Room No.317, Sector-6, Panchkula

E-mail: uhbv\_forum2006@yahoo.com

Fax & Phone No. 0172-2583896

Complaint No. CGRF-433/10

To

The Managing Director,  
UHBVN, Panchkula.

Memo No. Ch-34/UH/CGRF-433

Dated: 6.6.2011

Subject: - Order in respect of complaint of Sh. Hitesh Kumar regarding theft case.

Enclosed please find herewith the order issued by Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum in respect of above complaint for its compliance.

DA/As above

  
Secretary  
CGRF, UHBVN,  
Panchkula

CC.

1. Secretary/HERC, Sec-4, Panchkula.
2. CE/OP, UHBVN, Rohtak.
3. SE/ 'OP' Circle UHBVN, Jhajjar.
4. SE/Commercial UHBVN, Panchkula.
5. XEN/IT, UHBVN, Panchkula. (for posting on UHBVN site)
6. XEN/OP Division, UHBVN, Jhajjar with a copy of order.
7. SDO/OP, City S/Division, UHBVN, Jhajjar.
8. Sh. Hitesh Kumar S/o Sh. Mahender Singh, R/o H. No. 554/17, Subhash Nagar, Jhajjar.

For information & further necessary action with respect to order of Forum (copy enclosed).



**CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM**

**Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam**

Room No.317, IInd Floor, Shakti Bhawan, Sector-6, Panchkula

E-mail: uhbvn\_forum2006@yahoo.com

Complaint No. UH/CGRF - 433 of 2010  
Date of Institution 12.11.2010  
Date of Hearing 25.05.2011  
Date of Order 30.05.2011

Before the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum, UHBVNL.

Present:-

1. Sh. R.K. Jain, Member-I.
2. Sh. D.C. Aggarwal, Member-II.
3. Sh. Raj Roop Jakhar, Member-III.

In the matter of complaint of Mr. Hitesh Kumar S/o Late Sh. Mahinder Singh, HNo- 554/17, Subhash Nagar,, Jhajjar regarding levy of penalty for alleged theft of electricity.

.....Complainant/Petitioner

Vs

- (1) XEN, Op Division, UHBVN, Jhajjar.
- (2) SDO, City Sub-Division, Jhajjar.

.....Respondents

Appearance:-

For Complainant:

Mr. Hitesh Kumar

For the Respondent:

None



ORDER

The complainant Mr. Hitesh Kumar S/o Mahinder Singh HNo- 554/17, Subhash Nagar,, Jhajjar has got an electric connection bearing A/c No. F/572 - 164 under City Sub-Division, Jhajjar and the Forum have the jurisdiction to try this suit.

It has been pleaded by the complainant that an inspecting party of the respondents removed his meter on 7.10.10 on the allegation / pretext of testing the meter in the lab and implicated him in the false and fabricated case of tampering with the meter and imposed penalty of Rs. 104566/- on him. The load shown was never used by him. He made various representations to the respondents to quash the liability of penalty but they did not listen. The complainant has requested to the Forum that the notice issued vide No- 437 dated 7.10.10 by the respondent SDO imposing the penalty may be discharged.

The application of the petitioner was received in this office of the Forum on 28.10.10. After hearing the complainant the Forum observed that the case needed further examination so passed Interim Order granting stay restraining the respondent for recovering the amount from the complainant. Notice of motion dated 12.11.10 was issued to both the parties asking complainant to submit affidavit and asking respondent to submit version. Further notice of motion dated 1.12.10, 27.12.10 and 14.01.10 were issued directing the respondents to submit the version.

The respondent submitted the version dated 7.1.11 which was received on 18.1.11 stating that the ADV Vigilance checked the electric connection of the consumer on 7.10.10 and LL-I N0- 01/395 was prepared. He was given notice to deposit the amount of penalty. He has approached the DCDRF vide complaint No- 292/10 DOI 27.10.10 where the DCDRF has ordered to accept 35% of the total amount i.e. Rs. 31670/- and his office had been restrained to lodge the FIR.

The Summon were issued for hearings on 24.2.11, 30.3.11, 27.4.11 and 25.5.11. During the hearing proceedings the complainant submitted a copy of the order of the DCDRF, Jhajjar dated 19.1.11 in the case No- 292 of 2010 titled Mrs. Dayawanti W/o Late Sh. Mahendar Singh recording that the complainant had made a statement that the matter had been compromised between the parties so he does not

Amx

Amo 2

de



want to proceed any further with this complaint and it may be dismissed as withdrawn.

Forum considered the facts and observed that the complainant should have not filed present complaint when complaint in similar matter was already filed with DCDRF, Jhajjar. Now, since the case has been dismissed by the DCDRF, Jhajjar on the basis of compromise between two parties, present complaint is also dismissed without any cost on either side.

File be consigned to the office record.

The order is issued and signed by the Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum on 30.05.2011.

  
(Raj Roop Jakhar)  
Member-III

  
(D.C. Aggarwal)  
Member-II

  
(R.K. Jain)  
Member-I

